Left Random Context Grammars

Petr 7emek

Brno University of Technology, Faculty of Information Technology Božetěchova 2, 612 00 Brno, CZ www.fit.vutbr.cz/~izemek



Outline



- Motivation
- Preliminaries and Definitions
- Example
- Results
- Concluding Remarks and Open Problems

Acknowledgment

This presentation is based on an upcoming paper written jointly with prof. Alexander Meduna.

Motivation



- A natural generalization of left forbidding grammars, introduced in (1).
- Practical viewpoint: we examine only prefixes of sentential forms.
- Theoretical viewpoint: what is the impact of this restriction on the generative power of random context grammars?

Type 0 Grammars



Definition

A type 0 grammar is a quadruple

$$G = (N, T, P, S),$$

where

- N is the alphabet of nonterminals,
- T is the alphabet of terminals $(N \cap T = \emptyset)$,
- P is a finite set of rules of the form

$$X \rightarrow Y$$

where $x \in (N \cup T)^* N(N \cup T)^*$ and $y \in (N \cup T)^*$,

• $S \in N$ is the starting nonterminal.

Type 0 Grammars (cont.)



Definition

The relation of a *direct derivation*, denoted by \Rightarrow , is defined as follows: if

- $u, v \in (N \cup T)^*$,
- $x \rightarrow y \in P$,

then

$$uxv \Rightarrow uyv \text{ in } G.$$

Definition

The language of G, denoted by L(G), is defined as

$$L(G) = \{ w \in T^* \mid S \Rightarrow^* w \},$$

where \Rightarrow^* is the reflexive and transitive closure of \Rightarrow .

Type 1 and Type 2 Grammars



Definition

A type 0 grammar G = (N, T, P, S) is

 a type 1 grammar (context-sensitive) if every x → y ∈ P satisfies

$$|x| \leq |y|$$

• a type 2 grammar (context-free) if every $x \to y \in P$ satisfies $x \in N$

Random Context Grammars



Definition

A random context grammar (see (5)) is a quadruple

$$G = (N, T, P, S),$$

where

- N, T, and S are defined as in a context-free grammar,
- P is a finite set of rules of the form

$$[A \rightarrow X, U, W]$$

where $A \in N$, $x \in (N \cup T)^*$, and $U, W \subseteq N$.

U ... permitting contextW ... forbidding context

Random Context Grammars (cont.)



Definition

The relation of a *direct derivation*, denoted by \Rightarrow , is defined as follows: if

- $u, v \in (N \cup T)^*$,
- $|A \rightarrow X, U, W| \in P$,
- U ⊆ alph(uAv),
- $W \cap alph(uAv) = \emptyset$,

then

$$uAv \Rightarrow uxv \text{ in } G.$$

Definition

The language of G, denoted by L(G), is defined as

$$L(G) = \{ w \in T^* \mid S \Rightarrow^* w \},$$

where \Rightarrow^* is the reflexive and transitive closure of \Rightarrow .

Random Context Grammars (cont.)



Definition

If $[A \to x, U, W] \in P$ implies $|x| \ge 1$, then G is a propagating random context grammar.

Definition

If $[A \rightarrow x, U, W] \in P$ implies $W = \emptyset$, then G is a permitting grammar.

Definition

If $[A \rightarrow x, U, W] \in P$ implies $U = \emptyset$, then G is a forbidding grammar.

Left Random Context Grammars



Definition

Let G = (N, T, P, S) be a random context grammar. G is reffered to as a *left random context grammar* if its relation of a direct derivation (\Rightarrow) is defined as follows: if

- $u, v \in (N \cup T)^*$,
- $|A \rightarrow x, U, W| \in P$,
- $U \subseteq alph(u)$, (not uAv !)
- $W \cap alph(\mathbf{u}) = \emptyset$, (not uAv !)

then

$$uAv \Rightarrow uxv \text{ in } G.$$

Left Random Context Grammars (cont.)



Definition

If $[A \to x, U, W] \in P$ implies $|x| \ge 1$, then G is a propagating left random context grammar.

Definition

If $[A \rightarrow x, U, W] \in P$ implies $W = \emptyset$, then G is a *left permitting* grammar.

Definition

If $[A \to x, U, W] \in P$ implies $U = \emptyset$, then G is a *left* forbidding grammar.

Denotation of Language Families



- \mathscr{L}_{CF} ... the family of context-free languages
- \mathscr{L}_{CS} ... the family of context-sensitive languages
- ullet $\mathscr{L}_{\mathit{RE}}$... the family of recursively enumerable languages
- \mathcal{L}_{SC} ... the family of propagating scattered context languages

Denotation of Language Families (cont.)



- $\mathscr{L}^{\varepsilon}_{RC}$... the family of languages generated by random context grammars
- $\mathscr{L}_p^{\varepsilon}$... the family of languages generated by permitting grammars
- $\mathscr{L}_{\mathbf{F}}^{\varepsilon}$... the family of languages generated by forbidding grammars
- \mathcal{L}_{RC} ... the family of languages generated by propagating random context grammars
- \mathcal{L}_{P} ... the family of languages generated by propagating permitting grammars
- \mathscr{L}_{F} ... the family of languages generated by propagating forbidding grammars

Denotation of Language Families (cont.)



- $\mathscr{L}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathit{LRC}}$... the family of languages generated by left random context grammars
- $\mathscr{L}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathsf{LP}}$... the family of languages generated by left permitting grammars
- $\mathscr{L}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{LF}}$... the family of languages generated by left forbidding grammars
- \mathcal{L}_{LRC} ... the family of languages generated by propagating left random context grammars
- \mathcal{L}_{L^p} ... the family of languages generated by propagating left permitting grammars
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathit{LF}}$... the family of languages generated by propagating left forbidding grammars

Example



Example

Consider $K = \{a^n b^m c^m | 1 \le m \le n\}$. This non-context-free language is generated by the left random context grammar G defined as

$$G = (\{S, A, B, X, Y\}, \{a, b, c\}, P, S)$$

with *P* containing the following seven rules:

Notice that G is, in fact, a propagating left permitting grammar.



Example

$$P: \quad \lfloor S \to AX, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor, \qquad \qquad \quad \lfloor X \to bc, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor, \\ \quad \lfloor A \to \alpha, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor, \qquad \qquad \quad \lfloor X \to bYc, \{B\}, \emptyset \rfloor, \\ \quad \lfloor A \to \alpha B, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor, \qquad \qquad \quad \lfloor Y \to X, \{A\}, \emptyset \rfloor.$$

Observations:

• Every derivation starts with the application of $[S \to AX, \emptyset, \emptyset]$.



Example

$$\begin{array}{ll} P: & \lfloor \mathcal{S} \to AX, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor, & \qquad & \lfloor X \to bc, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor, \\ & \lfloor A \to cl, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor, & \qquad & \lfloor X \to bYc, \{B\}, \emptyset \rfloor, \\ & \lfloor A \to cl, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor, & \qquad & \lfloor Y \to X, \{A\}, \emptyset \rfloor. \end{array}$$

Observations:

- Every derivation starts with the application of $[S \to AX, \emptyset, \emptyset]$.
- $[X \to bYc, \{B\}, \emptyset]$ is applicable if B, produced by $[A \to aB, \emptyset, \emptyset]$, occurs to the left of X in the current sentential form.



Example

$$\begin{array}{ll} P: & \lfloor \mathcal{S} \to AX, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor, & \qquad & \lfloor X \to bc, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor, \\ & \lfloor A \to \alpha, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor, & \qquad & \lfloor X \to bYc, \{B\}, \emptyset \rfloor, \\ & \lfloor A \to \alpha B, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor, & \qquad & \lfloor Y \to X, \{A\}, \emptyset \rfloor. \end{array}$$

Observations:

- Every derivation starts with the application of $[S \to AX, \emptyset, \emptyset]$.
- $[X \to bYc, \{B\}, \emptyset]$ is applicable if B, produced by $[A \to aB, \emptyset, \emptyset]$, occurs to the left of X in the current sentential form.
- Similarly, $[Y \to X, \{A\}, \emptyset]$ is applicable if A, produced by $[B \to A, \emptyset, \emptyset]$, occurs to the left of Y in the current sentential form.



Example

$$P: \quad \lfloor \mathcal{S} \to AX, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor, \qquad \qquad \quad \lfloor X \to bc, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor, \\ \quad \lfloor A \to \alpha, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor, \qquad \qquad \quad \lfloor X \to bYc, \{B\}, \emptyset \rfloor, \\ \quad \lfloor A \to \alpha B, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor, \qquad \qquad \quad \lfloor Y \to X, \{A\}, \emptyset \rfloor.$$

Observations:

- Every derivation starts with the application of $[S \to AX, \emptyset, \emptyset]$.
- $[X \to bYc, \{B\}, \emptyset]$ is applicable if B, produced by $[A \to aB, \emptyset, \emptyset]$, occurs to the left of X in the current sentential form.
- Similarly, [Y → X, {A}, Ø] is applicable if A, produced by [B → A, Ø, Ø], occurs to the left of Y in the current sentential form.
- After $[A \to a, \emptyset, \emptyset]$ is applied, only one b and one c can be generated.



Example

$$P: \quad [S \to AX, \emptyset, \emptyset], \qquad \qquad [X \to bc, \emptyset, \emptyset], \\ [A \to classification A, 0, 0], \qquad [X \to bYc, \{B\}, \emptyset], \\ [A \to classification A, 0, 0], \qquad [Y \to X, \{A\}, \emptyset].$$

Every derivation that generates $w \in L(G)$ is of the form

$$S \Rightarrow AX$$

$$\Rightarrow^* \quad a^u AX$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad a^{u+1} BX$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad a^{u+1} BbYc$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad a^{u+1} AbYc$$

$$\Rightarrow^* \quad a^{u+1+v} AbYc$$

$$\Rightarrow^* \quad a^{u+1+v} AbXc$$

$$\cdots$$

$$\Rightarrow^* \quad a^n Ab^m Xc^m$$

$$\Rightarrow^2 \quad a^{n+1} b^{m+1} c^{m+1} = w.$$
Hence, $L(G) = K$.

Left Random Context Grammars

Notice



As was demonstrated in my talk, the proofs of the last two theorems in the following slide are not correct.

Results



Next, we prove the following four inclusions (identities).

Theorem

$$\mathscr{L}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathsf{LF}} = \mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{LF}} = \mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{CF}}$$

Theorem

$$\mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{CF}} \subset \mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{LP}} \subseteq \mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{SC}}$$

Theorem

$$\mathcal{L}_{LRC} = \mathcal{L}_{CS}$$

Theorem

$$\mathscr{L}^{\varepsilon}_{LRC} = \mathscr{L}_{RE}$$



The following relations regarding $\mathcal{L}_{LF}^{\varepsilon}$ and \mathcal{L}_{LF} were established in (1).

Lemma

$$\mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{CF}} \subseteq \mathscr{L}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathsf{LF}}$$

Proof (idea): Follows from the definition of a left forbidding grammar.





Lemma

$$\mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{LF}}^{\varepsilon}\subseteq\mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{CF}}$$

Proof (idea): Let G = (N, T, P, S) be a left forbidding grammar. Define the context free grammar

$$H = (N, T, P', S)$$

with
$$P' = \{A \rightarrow X \mid |A \rightarrow X, \emptyset, W| \in P\}$$
.



Lemma

$$\mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{LF}}^{\varepsilon}\subseteq\mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{CF}}$$

Proof (idea): Let G = (N, T, P, S) be a left forbidding grammar. Define the context free grammar

$$H = (N, T, P', S)$$

with
$$P' = \{A \rightarrow X \mid |A \rightarrow X, \emptyset, W| \in P\}$$
.



Lemma

$$\mathscr{L}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathsf{LF}} \subseteq \mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{CF}}$$

Proof (idea): Let G = (N, T, P, S) be a left forbidding grammar. Define the context free grammar

$$H = (N, T, P', S)$$

with
$$P' = \{A \rightarrow X \mid |A \rightarrow X, \emptyset, W| \in P\}$$
.

 L(G) ⊆ L(H) ... Any successful derivation of G is also a successful derivation of H.



Lemma

$$\mathscr{L}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathsf{LF}} \subseteq \mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{CF}}$$

Proof (idea): Let G = (N, T, P, S) be a left forbidding grammar. Define the context free grammar

$$H = (N, T, P', S)$$

with
$$P' = \{A \rightarrow X \mid |A \rightarrow X, \emptyset, W| \in P\}$$
.

- L(G) ⊆ L(H) ... Any successful derivation of G is also a successful derivation of H.
- L(H) ⊆ L(G)



Lemma

$$\mathscr{L}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathsf{LF}} \subseteq \mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{CF}}$$

Proof (idea): Let G = (N, T, P, S) be a left forbidding grammar. Define the context free grammar

$$H = (N, T, P', S)$$

with $P' = \{A \rightarrow X \mid |A \rightarrow X, \emptyset, W| \in P\}$.

- L(G) ⊆ L(H) ... Any successful derivation of G is also a successful derivation of H.
- $L(H) \subseteq L(G)$...Let $w \in L(H)$ be derived using a leftmost derivation. Such a leftmost derivation is also possible in G because the leftmost nonterminal can always be rewritten.

Consequently,
$$L(H) = L(G)$$
.

$$\mathscr{L}^{arepsilon}_{\mathsf{LF}} = \mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{CF}}$$



Theorem

$$\mathscr{L}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathit{LF}} = \mathscr{L}_{\mathit{CF}}$$

Proof: Follows directly from the two previous lemmas.



$$\mathscr{L}^{arepsilon}_{\mathsf{IF}} = \mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{LF}} = \mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{CF}}$$



As an immediate consequence of this theorem, we have that erasing rules can be eliminated from any left forbidding grammar.

Corollary

$$\mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{LF}}^{\varepsilon} = \mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{LF}} = \mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{CF}}$$

Towards $\mathscr{L}_{\mathit{CF}} \subset \mathscr{L}_{\mathit{LP}} \subseteq \mathscr{L}_{\mathit{SC}}$



Theorem

 $\mathscr{L}_{CF} \subset \mathscr{L}_{LP}$

Proof:

• $\mathscr{L}_{CF} \subseteq \mathscr{L}_{LP}$

Towards $\mathscr{L}_{\mathit{CF}} \subset \mathscr{L}_{\mathit{LP}} \subseteq \mathscr{L}_{\mathit{SC}}$



Theorem

$$\mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{CF}} \subset \mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{LP}}$$

Proof:

• $\mathcal{L}_{CF} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LP} \dots$ Follows from the definition of a left permitting grammar.

Towards $\mathscr{L}_{CF} \subset \mathscr{L}_{LP} \subseteq \mathscr{L}_{SC}$



Theorem

$$\mathscr{L}_{CF} \subset \mathscr{L}_{LP}$$

Proof:

- $\mathcal{L}_{CF} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LP}$... Follows from the definition of a left permitting grammar.
- $\mathcal{L}_{CF} \subset \mathcal{L}_{IP}$

Towards $\mathscr{L}_{CF} \subset \mathscr{L}_{LP} \subseteq \mathscr{L}_{SC}$



Theorem

$$\mathscr{L}_{CF} \subset \mathscr{L}_{LP}$$

Proof:

- $\mathcal{L}_{CF} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LP}$... Follows from the definition of a left permitting grammar.
- $\mathcal{L}_{CF} \subset \mathcal{L}_{LP} \dots$ Follows from the fact that the non-context-free language $\{a^nb^mc^m \mid 1 \leq m \leq n\}$ can be generated by the propagating left permitting grammar G from the example.

Scattered Context Grammars



Definition

A propagating scattered context grammar (see (2)) is a quadruple,

$$G = (N, T, P, S),$$

where

- N, T, and S are defined as in a context-free grammar;
- P is a finite set of rules of the form

$$(A_1,A_2,\ldots,A_n) \rightarrow (x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n),$$

where $A_i \in N$ and $x_i \in (N \cup T)^+$, for all i.

Scattered Context Grammars (cont.)



Definition

The relation of a *direct derivation*, denoted by \Rightarrow , is defined as follows: if

- $(A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n) \to (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in P$,
- $u = u_1 A_1 u_2 A_2 \cdots u_n A_n u_{n+1}$, and
- $V = U_1 X_1 \ U_2 X_2 \ \cdots \ U_n X_n \ U_{n+1}$,

where $u_i \in (N \cup T)^*$, for all i, then

$$u \Rightarrow v \text{ in } G.$$

Definition

The language of G, denoted by L(G), is defined as

$$L(G) = \{ w \in T^+ \mid S \Rightarrow^* w \},$$

where \Rightarrow^* is the reflexive and transitive closure of \Rightarrow .

Towards $\mathscr{L}_{CF} \subset \mathscr{L}_{LP} \subseteq \mathscr{L}_{SC}$



Theorem

$$\mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{LP}} \subseteq \mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{SC}}$$

Proof (idea): Let G = (N, T, P, S) be a propagating left permitting grammar. Define the propagating scattered context grammar

$$H = (N, T, P', S)$$

with P' constructed as follows:

- 1) for every $[A \to x, \emptyset, \emptyset] \in P$, add $(A) \to (x)$ to P';
- ② for every $[A \to x, \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}, \emptyset] \in P$ and every permutation (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$, where $n \ge 1$, add $(X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_n}, A) \to (X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_n}, x)$ to P'.

It can be easily shown that L(G) = L(H).



Lemma

$$\mathscr{L}_{CS} \subseteq \mathscr{L}_{LRC}$$

Proof (idea): We show how to simulate any context-sensitive grammar in the Penttonen normal form (see (3)) by a propagating left random context grammar.

- Let G = (N, T, P, S) be a context-sensitive grammar in the Penttonen normal form.
- We next construct a propagating left random context grammar H such that L(H) = L(G).



Proof of $\mathcal{L}_{CS} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LRC}$ (cont.):

• Set
$$\overline{N} = {\overline{A} \mid A \in N}$$
,
 $\hat{N} = {\hat{A} \mid A \in N}$,
 $N' = N \cup \overline{N} \cup \hat{N}$.

Define the propagating left random context grammar

$$H = (N', T, P', S)$$

with P' constructed as follows:



Proof of $\mathcal{L}_{CS} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LRC}$ (cont.):

Simulation of $A \rightarrow a \in P$, $A \in N$, $a \in T$:

• add $[A \rightarrow a, \emptyset, N']$ to P'.



Proof of $\mathcal{L}_{CS} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LRC}$ (cont.):

Simulation of $A \rightarrow a \in P$, $A \in N$, $a \in T$:

• add $[A \rightarrow a, \emptyset, N']$ to P'.

Simulation of $A \rightarrow BC \in P$, $A, B, C \in N$:

• add $[A \rightarrow BC, \emptyset, \emptyset]$ to P'.



Proof of $\mathcal{L}_{CS} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LRC}$ (cont.):

Simulation of $A \rightarrow a \in P$, $A \in N$, $a \in T$:

• add $[A \rightarrow a, \emptyset, N']$ to P'.

Simulation of $A \rightarrow BC \in P$, $A, B, C \in N$:

• add $[A \rightarrow BC, \emptyset, \emptyset]$ to P'.

Simulation of $AB \rightarrow AC \in P$, $A, B, C \in N$:

the tricky part...



Proof of $\mathcal{L}_{CS} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LRC}$ (cont.):

Simulation of $AB \rightarrow AC \in P$ (example):

aDbEABc



Proof of $\mathcal{L}_{CS} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LRC}$ (cont.):

Simulation of $AB \rightarrow AC \in P$ (example):

aDbEABc



Proof of
$$\mathcal{L}_{CS} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LRC}$$
 (cont.):

Simulation of
$$AB \rightarrow AC \in P$$
 (example):

$$aDbEABc \Rightarrow a\overline{D}bEABc \quad [D \to \overline{D}, \emptyset, N \cup \hat{N}]$$



Proof of
$$\mathcal{L}_{CS} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LRC}$$
 (cont.):

Simulation of
$$AB \rightarrow AC \in P$$
 (example):

$$aDbEABc \Rightarrow a\overline{D}bEABc \quad [D \to \overline{D}, \emptyset, N \cup \hat{N}]$$



Proof of
$$\mathcal{L}_{CS} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LRC}$$
 (cont.):

Simulation of
$$AB \rightarrow AC \in P$$
 (example):

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textit{aDbEABc} & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\textit{ABc} & \lfloor \textit{D} \rightarrow \overline{\textit{D}}, \emptyset, \textit{N} \cup \hat{\textit{N}} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\textit{ABc} & \lfloor \textit{E} \rightarrow \overline{\textit{E}}, \emptyset, \textit{N} \cup \hat{\textit{N}} \rfloor \end{array}$$



Proof of
$$\mathcal{L}_{CS} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LRC}$$
 (cont.):

Simulation of
$$AB \rightarrow AC \in P$$
 (example):

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textit{aDbEABc} & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{bEABc} & \lfloor \textit{D} \rightarrow \overline{\textit{D}}, \emptyset, \textit{N} \cup \hat{\textit{N}} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\textcolor{red}{\textit{A}\textit{Bc}} & \lfloor \textit{E} \rightarrow \overline{\textit{E}}, \emptyset, \textit{N} \cup \hat{\textit{N}} \rfloor \end{array}$$



Proof of
$$\mathcal{L}_{CS} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LRC}$$
 (cont.):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} aDbEABc & \Rightarrow & a\overline{D}bEABc & \lfloor D \to \overline{D}, \emptyset, N \cup \hat{N} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & a\overline{D}b\overline{E}ABc & \lfloor E \to \overline{E}, \emptyset, N \cup \hat{N} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & a\overline{D}b\overline{E}ABc & \lfloor A \to \hat{A}, \emptyset, N \cup \hat{N} \rfloor \end{array}$$



Proof of
$$\mathcal{L}_{CS} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LRC}$$
 (cont.):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} aDbEABc & \Rightarrow & a\overline{D}bEABc & \lfloor D \to \overline{D}, \emptyset, N \cup \hat{N} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & a\overline{D}b\overline{E}ABc & \lfloor E \to \overline{E}, \emptyset, N \cup \hat{N} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & a\overline{D}b\overline{E}\hat{A}\underline{B}c & \lfloor A \to \hat{A}, \emptyset, N \cup \hat{N} \rfloor \end{array}$$



Proof of $\mathcal{L}_{CS} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LRC}$ (cont.):

$$\begin{array}{lll} \textit{aDbEABc} & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{bEABc} & \lfloor \textit{D} \rightarrow \overline{\textit{D}}, \emptyset, \textit{N} \cup \hat{\textit{N}} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\textit{ABc} & \lfloor \textit{E} \rightarrow \overline{\textit{E}}, \emptyset, \textit{N} \cup \hat{\textit{N}} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\hat{\textit{A}} \frac{\textit{B}}{\textit{C}} & \lfloor \textit{A} \rightarrow \hat{\textit{A}}, \emptyset, \textit{N} \cup \hat{\textit{N}} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\hat{\textit{A}} \frac{\textit{C}}{\textit{C}} & \lfloor \textit{B} \rightarrow \textit{C}, \{\hat{\textit{A}}\}, \textit{N} \rfloor \end{array}$$



```
Proof of \mathcal{L}_{CS} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LRC} (cont.):
```

$$\begin{array}{lll} \textit{aDbEABc} & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{bEABc} & \lfloor \textit{D} \rightarrow \overline{\textit{D}}, \emptyset, \textit{N} \cup \hat{\textit{N}} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\textit{ABc} & \lfloor \textit{E} \rightarrow \overline{\textit{E}}, \emptyset, \textit{N} \cup \hat{\textit{N}} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\hat{\textit{A}}\textit{Bc} & \lfloor \textit{A} \rightarrow \hat{\textit{A}}, \emptyset, \textit{N} \cup \hat{\textit{N}} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\hat{\textit{A}}\textit{Cc} & \lfloor \textit{B} \rightarrow \textit{C}, \{\hat{\textit{A}}\}, \textit{N} \rfloor \end{array}$$



```
Proof of \mathcal{L}_{CS} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LRC} (cont.):
```

$$\begin{array}{lll} \textit{aDbEABc} & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{bEABc} & \lfloor \textit{D} \rightarrow \overline{\textit{D}}, \emptyset, \textit{N} \cup \hat{\textit{N}} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\textit{ABc} & \lfloor \textit{E} \rightarrow \overline{\textit{E}}, \emptyset, \textit{N} \cup \hat{\textit{N}} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\hat{\textit{A}}\textit{Bc} & \lfloor \textit{A} \rightarrow \hat{\textit{A}}, \emptyset, \textit{N} \cup \hat{\textit{N}} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\hat{\textit{A}}\textit{Cc} & \lfloor \textit{B} \rightarrow \textit{C}, \{\hat{\textit{A}}\}, \textit{N} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\textit{A}\textit{Cc} & \lfloor \hat{\textit{A}} \rightarrow \textit{A}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor \end{array}$$



Proof of $\mathcal{L}_{CS} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LRC}$ (cont.):

$$\begin{array}{lll} \textit{aDbEABc} & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{bEABc} & \lfloor \textit{D} \rightarrow \overline{\textit{D}}, \emptyset, \textit{N} \cup \hat{\textit{N}} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\textit{ABc} & \lfloor \textit{E} \rightarrow \overline{\textit{E}}, \emptyset, \textit{N} \cup \hat{\textit{N}} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\hat{\textit{ABc}} & \lfloor \textit{A} \rightarrow \hat{\textit{A}}, \emptyset, \textit{N} \cup \hat{\textit{N}} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\hat{\textit{ACc}} & \lfloor \textit{B} \rightarrow \textit{C}, \{\hat{\textit{A}}\}, \textit{N} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\textit{ACc} & \lfloor \hat{\textit{A}} \rightarrow \textit{A}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor \end{array}$$



Proof of $\mathcal{L}_{CS} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LRC}$ (cont.):

$$\begin{array}{lll} \textit{aDbEABc} & \Rightarrow & \textit{a\overline{D}bEABc} & \lfloor D \to \overline{D}, \emptyset, N \cup \hat{N} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a\overline{D}b\overline{E}ABc} & \lfloor E \to \overline{E}, \emptyset, N \cup \hat{N} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a\overline{D}b\overline{E}ABc} & \lfloor A \to \hat{A}, \emptyset, N \cup \hat{N} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a\overline{D}b\overline{E}ACc} & \lfloor B \to C, \{\hat{A}\}, N \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a\overline{D}b\overline{E}ACc} & \lfloor \hat{A} \to A, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a\overline{D}bEACc} & \lfloor \overline{E} \to E, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor \end{array}$$



Proof of $\mathcal{L}_{CS} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LRC}$ (cont.):

$$\begin{array}{lll} \textit{aDbEABc} & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{D}\textit{bEABc} & \lfloor D \to \overline{D}, \emptyset, N \cup \hat{N} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{D}\textit{b}\overline{E}\textit{ABc} & \lfloor E \to \overline{E}, \emptyset, N \cup \hat{N} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{D}\textit{b}\overline{E}\hat{A}\textit{Bc} & \lfloor A \to \hat{A}, \emptyset, N \cup \hat{N} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{D}\textit{b}\overline{E}\hat{A}\textit{Cc} & \lfloor B \to C, \{\hat{A}\}, N \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{D}\textit{b}\overline{E}\textit{ACc} & \lfloor \hat{A} \to A, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{D}\textit{b}\textit{EACc} & \lfloor \overline{E} \to E, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor \end{array}$$



Proof of $\mathcal{L}_{CS} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LRC}$ (cont.):

$$\begin{array}{lll} \textit{aDbEABc} & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{bEABc} & \lfloor \textit{D} \rightarrow \overline{\textit{D}}, \emptyset, \textit{N} \cup \hat{\textit{N}} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\textit{ABc} & \lfloor \textit{E} \rightarrow \overline{\textit{E}}, \emptyset, \textit{N} \cup \hat{\textit{N}} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\hat{\textit{A}}\textit{Bc} & \lfloor \textit{A} \rightarrow \hat{\textit{A}}, \emptyset, \textit{N} \cup \hat{\textit{N}} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\hat{\textit{A}}\textit{Cc} & \lfloor \textit{B} \rightarrow \textit{C}, \{\hat{\textit{A}}\}, \textit{N} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\textit{A}\textit{Cc} & \lfloor \hat{\textit{A}} \rightarrow \textit{A}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\textit{E}\textit{A}\textit{Cc} & \lfloor \overline{\textit{E}} \rightarrow \textit{E}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\textit{E}\textit{A}\textit{Cc} & \lfloor \overline{\textit{D}} \rightarrow \textit{D}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor \end{array}$$



Proof of $\mathcal{L}_{CS} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LRC}$ (cont.):

$$\begin{array}{lll} \textit{aDbEABc} & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{bEABc} & \lfloor \textit{D} \rightarrow \overline{\textit{D}}, \emptyset, \textit{N} \cup \hat{\textit{N}} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\textit{ABc} & \lfloor \textit{E} \rightarrow \overline{\textit{E}}, \emptyset, \textit{N} \cup \hat{\textit{N}} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\hat{\textit{A}}\textit{Bc} & \lfloor \textit{A} \rightarrow \hat{\textit{A}}, \emptyset, \textit{N} \cup \hat{\textit{N}} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\hat{\textit{A}}\textit{Cc} & \lfloor \textit{B} \rightarrow \textit{C}, \{\hat{\textit{A}}\}, \textit{N} \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\overline{\textit{E}}\textit{A}\textit{Cc} & \lfloor \hat{\textit{A}} \rightarrow \textit{A}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\overline{\textit{D}}\textit{b}\textit{E}\textit{A}\textit{Cc} & \lfloor \overline{\textit{E}} \rightarrow \textit{E}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor \\ & \Rightarrow & \textit{a}\textit{D}\textit{b}\textit{E}\textit{A}\textit{Cc} & \lfloor \overline{\textit{D}} \rightarrow \textit{D}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rfloor \end{array}$$



Proof of $\mathcal{L}_{CS} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LRC}$ (cont.):

Simulation of $AB \rightarrow AC \in P$, $A, B, C \in N$:

- for every *D* ∈ *N*:
 - add $[D o \overline{D}, \emptyset, N \cup \hat{N}]$ and $[D o \hat{D}, \emptyset, N \cup \hat{N}]$ to P';
 - add $[\overline{D} \to D, \emptyset, \emptyset]$ and $[\hat{D} \to D, \emptyset, \emptyset]$ to P'.
- add $\lfloor B \rightarrow C, \{\hat{A}\}, N \rfloor$ to P'.

L(G) = L(H) is proved by induction on the lengths of derivations.



Lemma

$$\mathcal{L}_{LRC} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{CS}$$

Proof (idea): Follows from the Workspace theorem (see (4)).

$$\mathcal{L}_{LRC} = \mathcal{L}_{CS}$$



Theorem

$$\mathcal{L}_{LRC} = \mathcal{L}_{CS}$$

Proof: Follows directly from the two previous lemmas.



Towards $\mathcal{L}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathit{LRC}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathit{RE}}$



Lemma

$$\mathscr{L}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathit{LRC}} \subseteq \mathscr{L}_{\mathit{RE}}$$

Proof: Follows from the Church-Turing thesis.



Towards $\mathscr{L}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathit{LRC}} = \mathscr{L}_{\mathit{RE}}$



Lemma

$$\mathscr{L}_{\mathit{RE}} \subseteq \mathscr{L}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathit{LRC}}$$

Proof (idea): This lemma can be established by analogy with the proof of $\mathcal{L}_{CS} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{LRC}$; recall that there is also Penttonen normal form for type 0 grammars (see (3)).

$$\mathscr{L}^{arepsilon}_{\mathsf{LRC}}=\mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{RE}}$$



Theorem

$$\mathscr{L}^{\varepsilon}_{IRC} = \mathscr{L}_{RE}$$

Proof: Follows directly from the two previous lemmas.



Concluding Remarks



Relationship of random context grammars and left random context grammars.

Theorem

- $2 \mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{LF}} = \mathscr{L}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathsf{LF}} \subset \mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{F}} \subseteq \mathscr{L}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathsf{F}}$

Concluding Remarks



Known hierarchy results.

Theorem

- $\textbf{1} \ \mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{CF}} \subset \mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{P}} \subset \mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{RC}} \subset \mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{LRC}} = \mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{CS}} \subset \mathscr{L}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathsf{RC}} = \mathscr{L}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathsf{LRC}} = \mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{RE}}$
- 2 $\mathscr{L}_{CF} = \mathscr{L}_{LF} = \mathscr{L}_{LF}^{\varepsilon} \subset \mathscr{L}_{F} \subseteq \mathscr{L}_{F}^{\varepsilon} \subset \mathscr{L}_{RE}$
- 3 $\mathcal{L}_{CF} \subset \mathcal{L}_{P} = \mathcal{L}_{P}^{\varepsilon} \subset \mathcal{L}_{CS}$

Open Problems



- 1 Establish relations between \mathscr{L}_{P} , \mathscr{L}_{LP} , and $\mathscr{L}_{LP}^{\varepsilon}$.
- 2 Recall that $\mathscr{L}_P = \mathscr{L}_P^{\varepsilon}$ (see (6)). Is it true that $\mathscr{L}_{LP} = \mathscr{L}_{LP}^{\varepsilon}$?
- 3 Does $\mathcal{L}_{LP} = \mathcal{L}_{LRC}$ hold? If so, then our results would imply $\mathcal{L}_{SC} = \mathcal{L}_{CS}$ and, thereby, solve a longstanding open question.

References





F. Goldefus, T. Masopust, and A. Meduna.

Left-forbidding cooperating distributed grammar systems. Theoretical Computer Science, 20(3):1–11, 2010.



S. A. Greibach and J. E. Hopcroft.

Scattered context grammars.

Journal of Computer and System Sciences, 3(3):233–247, 1969.



M. Penttonen.

One-sided and two-sided context in formal grammars. *Information and Control*, 25(4):371–392, 1974.



A. Salomaa.

Formal Languages. Academic Press, 1973.



A. P. J. van der Walt.

Random context grammars.

In Proceedings of Symposium on Formal Languages, pages 163–165, 1970.



G. Zetzsche.

On erasing productions in random context grammars.

In *Automata*, *Languages and Programming*, volume 6199 of *LNCS*, pages 175–186. Springer, Berlin, 2010.

Thank you for your attention!